

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: ix9flhrci@att.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 3:50 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
james hipp
3157 crystal lake dr.
Saint Louis, MO 63129

cc:
Representative Russ Carnahan

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: chessiess@yahoo.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 4:08 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

The proposed new system of appeals in federal death penalty cases has serious and frightening flaws. It looks to me like a bunch of further attempts on the part of the Bush administration to curtail our civil and human rights. They have done far more than enough of that already.

And Gonzalez is the guy you want to trust with authority on this? The guy who can't remember what happened in important meetings? The guy who thinks torturing people is just fine?

Please reject the regulations as currently proposed, and include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Catherine Hess
11915 Dalewood Dr.
Silver Spring, MD 20902

cc:
Representative Chris Van Hollen

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: nseubert@ihmsisters.org
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 4:01 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Diederichs
810 W. Elm
Apt 516
Monroe, MI 48162

cc:
Representative John Dingell

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: constabile@email.msn.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 4:01 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Ed Stabile
PO Box 1725
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272

cc:
Representative Henry Waxman

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: evega@sbcglobal.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 2:27 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Eduardo Vega
3686 Del Monte Way
San Leandro, CA 94578

cc:
Representative Pete Stark

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: durbar2@aol.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 2:27 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Paul Bumbar
18 Spicvebush Lane
Tuxedo,, NY 10987

cc:
Representative John Hall

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: lmjpower3@yahoo.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 2:53 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Lynn Jenkins
11 Melody Lane
West Milford, NJ 07480

cc:
Representative Scott Garrett

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: evabertoglio@yahoo.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 3:07 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Eva Bertoglio
412 west 5th
Newberg,, OR 97132

cc:
Representative David Wu

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: pguthrie@ihmsisters.org
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 3:07 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Patricia Guthrie
610 W. Elm Avenue
Monroe, MI 48162

cc:
Representative John Dingell

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: johnsb@earthlink.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 3:07 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
John Berman
179 Prospect Park Southwest
.
Brooklyn, NY 11218

cc:
Representative Yvette Clarke

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: vmeltz@bellsouth.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 3:07 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Victoria Cohen-Crumpton
305 Deer Lake Dr
Nashville, TN 37221

cc:
Representative Jim Cooper

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: ANorton@hvc.rr.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 3:07 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
amy friedman
149 haver road
olivebridge, NY 12461

cc:
Representative Maurice Hinchey

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: penfold@artnet.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 3:31 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Peter Isaacson
12134 Bonavista Lane
Whittier, CA 90604

cc:
Representative Linda Sanchez

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: jgoodale@tystitle.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 3:31 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Joni Goodale
8619 Shady Glen drive
Orlando, FL 32819

cc:
Representative Ric Keller

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: mstuhldreya@ihmsisters.org
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 3:26 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Monica Stuhldreya
2405 Opdyke
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

cc:
Representative Joe Knollenberg

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: djmac24@care2.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 1:55 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Donald McElreavy
35 Lovell Rd
Stratham, NH 03885

cc:
Representative Carol Shea-Porter

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: devonsnider@yahoo.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 1:36 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Devon Snider
30 ANDREW PLACE
BALTIMORE, MD 21201

cc:
Representative Elijah Cummings

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: bbishop40@yahoo.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 1:36 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Beth Bishop
2350 Coyote Creek Dr
Okemos,, MI 48864

cc:
Representative Mike Rogers

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: gnrskis@msn.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 1:36 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Wood
942 Norfolk Ave.
Park City, UT 84068

cc:
Representative Rob Bishop

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: rcoloni@sbcglobal.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 1:36 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Randall Coloni
145 Farrell St.
Madison, WI 53714

cc:
Representative Tammy Baldwin

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: crmoore@udel.edu
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 1:16 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Moore
401 W 3RD St Apt C
Wilmington, DE 19801

cc:
Representative Mike Castle

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: dr.shakes@gmail.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 1:05 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
egor panchenko
73 bright street
jersey city,, NJ 07302

cc:
Representative Albio Sires

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: pvasta@sbcglobal.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 1:16 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Pat Vasta
33 Virginia Dr
Monroe, MI 48162

cc:
Representative John Dingell

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: jaynewlin@msn.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 1:05 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Jay R. Newlin
145 Walnut St.
Jenkintown, PA 19046

cc:
Representative Allyson Schwartz

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: katrinawoodstok@sbcglobal.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 12:53 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Shannon Schetgen
5304 Woodstock Way
San Jose, CA 95118

cc:
Representative Mike Honda

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: dldemick@hotmail.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 12:42 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Denise Demick Case
63 Upper Cady Road
Old Chatham, NY 12136

cc:
Representative Kirsten Gillibrand

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: mlufkin@lsaffhs.org
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 12:06 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Marie Lufkin
515 E. 118th Street
New York, NY 10035

cc:
Representative Charles Rangel

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: lhedt@yahoo.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 12:06 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Linda Hedt
PO Box 531
Burley, WA 98322

cc:
Representative Norm Dicks

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: lisajohanna@talkamerica.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 12:06 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Lisa Lepsy
7148 Edwina Lane
Lexington, MI 48450

cc:
Representative Candice Miller

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: visti@cox.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 12:23 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Virginia Stiepock
41 Laurel Ridge Rd
Wakefield, RI 02879

cc:
Representative James Langevin

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: vpd-lab@mho.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 12:23 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Chris Riggio
1266 S Mountain View RD
Castle Rock, CO 80109

cc:
Representative Thomas Tancredo

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: josefina.carmona@csun.edu
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 12:23 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Josefina Carmona
5520 Paraguay Ct.
El Paso, TX 79903

cc:
Representative Silvestre Reyes

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: ascgabriela@yahoo.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 12:23 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Gabriela Segovia-McGahan
247 Bancroft Way
Pacifica, CA 94044

cc:
Representative Tom Lantos

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: clearangel111@yahoo.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 11:04 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
ANGELA NAWAZ
13401 ansel ter. ap.I
germantown, MD 20874

cc:
Representative Chris Van Hollen

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: rlee@victoriancrochet.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 11:15 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Ramona Lee
P.O. Box 1072
Port Hadlock, WA 98339

cc:
Representative Norm Dicks

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: lnp6@georgetown.edu
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 11:56 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Laura Carbonneau
6405 Sam Maverick Pass
Austin,, TX 78749

cc:
Representative Lloyd Doggett

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: irenemiels@aol.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 11:56 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Irene Miels
203 Yoakum Pkwy.
#312
Alexandria, VA 22304

cc:
Representative Jim Moran

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: amyyam@world.oberlin.edu
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 11:44 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Amy Battisti-Ashe
31 Stone Avenue
Somerville, MA 02143

cc:
Representative Michael Capuano

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: pamrbak@umich.edu
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 10:43 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Pamela Baker
638 E 4th St
Unit 2
Tucson, AZ 85705

cc:
Representative Raul Grijalva

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: francesnkara@yahoo.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 10:43 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
frances nkara
PO Box 40204
San Francisco, CA 94140

cc:
Representative Nancy Pelosi

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: d.rezabek@att.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 10:28 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
dennis rezabek
6034 carry
unit 4
cleveland, OH 44103

cc:
Representative Stephanie Jones

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: cdhogue@mcleodusa.net
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 10:15 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane NE
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

cc:
Representative Dave Loebsack

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: thomas.busch@villanova.edu
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 10:15 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
thomas busch
163 kentsdale court
malvern, PA 19355

cc:
Representative Joe Sestak

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: unamanita@hotmail.com
Posted At: Thursday, August 23, 2007 10:51 AM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Vigil
710 North 2nd St. #3
San Jose, CA 95112

cc:
Representative Zoe Lofgren

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: vera1934@hotmail.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 9:29 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Vera Reno
209 Charda Dr
Westminster, SC 29693

cc:
Representative Gresham Barrett

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: kbcote@verizon.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 9:18 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Katherine Cote
136 W Spruce St
Milford, MA 01757

cc:
Representative Richard Neal

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: edymkows@clinton.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 9:18 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, and the fact that this clearly interferes with State's rights, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Evelyn J. Dymkowski
2113 Roosevelt St.
Clinton, IA 52732

cc:
Representative Bruce Braley

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: Pickypjm@Aol.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 9:18 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Priscilla Marowski
83 Sterling St
Springfield, MA 01107

cc:
Representative Richard Neal

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: rengen@planet-save.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 9:10 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
dogan ozkan
Zambak Sk No8/10 Ciftci
dikilitas besiktas
istanbul turkey, DC 20046

cc:
Delegate Eleanor Norton

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: bbruton3@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 9:10 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
babette bruton
15921 linda ave
los gatos, CA 95032

cc:
Representative Mike Honda

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: gusbertolly@msn.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:59 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
James Walcott
7860 S Hoyt Ct
Littleton, CO 80128

cc:
Representative Thomas Tancredo

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: action@blemby.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:59 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Jenny Hayes
7038 26th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117

cc:
Representative Jim McDermott

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: gaesayshi@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:59 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Gae Conte
1995 Chestnut St. #203
San Francisco, CA 94123

cc:
Representative Nancy Pelosi

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: amimkjr@comcast.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:59 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Doris Ross
62 Davey Drive
West Orange, NJ 07052

cc:
Representative Bill Pascrell

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: amee_elliott@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:39 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Ame Elliott
1402 Inwood Blvd.
Garland,, TX 75042

cc:
Representative Sam Johnson

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: wjniemi@aol.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:39 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Bill Niemi
512 A Avenue South
Mount Vernon, IA 52314

cc:
Representative Dave Loebsack

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: tompatds@burlingtontelecom.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:29 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Thomas %pa_first_name% Patricia DiSilvio
12 Ledge mere St.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Representative Peter Welch

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: YOMTOV@PACBELL.NET
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:29 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
David Yomtov
357 S. 16th Street
San Jose, CA 95112

cc:
Representative Zoe Lofgren

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: jderzon@verizon.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:29 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
James Derzon
3320 Grass Hill Terrace
Falls Church, VA 22044

cc:
Representative Tom Davis

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: katasmith@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:23 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Smith
2725 Kinney Dr
Walnut Creek, CA 94595

cc:
Representative Ellen Tauscher

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: snd090@aol.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:23 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned citizen, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Susan Dobbartin
2380 Highpoint Rd
Cuyahoga Falls,, OH 44223

cc:
Representative Betty Sutton

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: tlcrockett@gmail.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:13 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Toby Crockett
63 North St
Westbrook, ME 04092

cc:
Representative Tom Allen

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: coronaloverg@netscape.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:13 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
amy govea
27 grenier rd
vassalboro, ME 04989

cc:
Representative Tom Allen

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: wildturkeydemocrat@earthlink.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:13 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Hentschel
3703 Harmon Avenue, #201
Austin, TX 78705

cc:
Representative Lamar Smith

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: Elfknoll7@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:13 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Brian Blanchard
42271 Long Hollow Dr.
Coarsegold, CA 93614

cc:
Representative George Radanovich

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: redahi@hawaii.rr.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:13 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
B McCLINTOCK
Disabled-email only
Honolulu,, HI 96825

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: rhee@umich.edu
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:13 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Richard Rhee
200 Zina Pitcher Place
Kresge III R3315 Box 0553
Ann Arbor, MI 48109

cc:
Representative John Dingell

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: Lonestar1983@msn.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:13 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Kristin Schwalback
42 Princess Ave
Bay Shore, NY 11706

cc:
Representative Steve Israel

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: gail@planet-save.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 8:13 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Willard Holbrook
RT 1 Box 244H
Clintwood, VA 24228

cc:
Representative Rick Boucher

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: brendajoe@aol.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:50 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Joe Feinstein
7308 Creek View
W. Bloomfield, MI 48322

cc:
Representative Joe Knollenberg

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: shubi@socal.rr.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:41 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Shubi Steininger
11081 Dudley Way
Stanton, CA 90680

cc:
Representative Ed Royce

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: jpbrady42@aol.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:41 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
James Brady
354 County Line Rd.
Phoenix,, NY 13135

cc:
Representative James Walsh

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: conley9496@sbcglobal.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:41 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Marcia Conley
PO Box 124
Danville, IN 46122

cc:
Representative Steve Buyer

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: dgthometz@aol.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:35 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
David Thometz
987 Simerly Creek Rd,
Hampton, TN 37658

cc:
Representative David Davis

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: isitraining4@hotmail.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:35 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Dawn Torrence
N. 4827 Martin
Spokane, WA 99207

cc:
Representative Cathy McMorris Rodgers

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: jfredric@cpinternet.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:18 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Judy Fredrickson
1581 Grant Mc Mahan Blvd.
Ely, MN 55731

cc:
Representative James Oberstar

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: mms72mail-lists@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:18 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
michelle salois
328 N. Fillmore
St. Louis, MO 63122

cc:
Representative Todd Akin

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: mulderlovertwik@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:18 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Twik Simms
1415 W Chevy Chase Dr
Anaheim, CA 92801

cc:
Representative Loretta Sanchez

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: maxmail1@sbcglobal.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:06 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
max schleimer
2838 Saklan Indian Drive
walnut Creek, CA 94595

cc:
Representative Ellen Tauscher

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: danvice@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 7:06 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Daniel Vice
2141 P St NW #203
Washington, DC 20037

cc:
Delegate Eleanor Norton

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: jbibuld@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:50 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Jerome Bibuld
95 Stadley Rough Rd
Danbury, CT 06811

cc:
Representative Christopher Murphy

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: scostello10@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:40 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Susan Costello
16 Dodie Drive
Parsippany, NJ 07054

cc:
Representative Rodney Frelinghuysen

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: seowens19143@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:40 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Sharon Owens
455 S. 48th St.
Philadelphia, PA 19143

cc:
Representative Chaka Fattah

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: Antherwldrose@aol.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:27 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Brenda Griggs
301 Modene St. TrLr. 40
Seagoville, TX 75159

cc:
Representative Jeb Hensarling

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: adunn101@ameritech.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:27 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Alisa Dunn
1050 Hardesty PL W
Columbus, OH 43204

cc:
Representative Deborah Pryce

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: sillypunkrockgoddess@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:27 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Heather Guffey
1931 E Redfield rd
Tempe, AZ 85283

cc:
Representative Harry Mitchell

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: ebaranick@psouth.net
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:27 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Baranick
PO Box 99
Hiram,, ME 04041

cc:
Representative Mike Michaud

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: kerwhi@yahoo.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:12 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Kerry White
5918 Genoa St. #9
Oakland, CA 94608

cc:
Representative Barbara Lee

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: shirley.e.napps.adv85@alum.dartmouth.org
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:12 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
shirley napps
1619 mason lane
charlottesville, VA 22903

cc:
Representative Virgil Goode

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: diana_g_browning@hotmail.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:01 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Diana Browning
PO Box 352
Cedar City, UT 84720

cc:
Representative Jim Matheson

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: karen.linarez@wellpoint.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:12 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Karen Linarez
5249 Manzanita Ave
Carmichael,, CA 95608

cc:
Representative Dan Lungren

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: caroline.rider@marist.edu
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:01 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

It is shocking that the DOJ would even propose such regulations. What is happening to our democracy?

However, here are some of the specifics:

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Caroline Rider
42 E Market St
Red Hook, NY 12571

cc:
Representative Kirsten Gillibrand

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: bettycindy@hotmail.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:01 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Livingston
95 Clarendon Ave
Avondale Estates, GA 30002

cc:
Representative Henry Johnson

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: Katbolady2@aol.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:01 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Kelli Taylor
2765 Surrey Park Drive
Bartlett, TN 38134

cc:
Representative Marsha Blackburn

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: maroyseaver@huskeraccess.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 6:01 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As concerned members of the public, we are writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, we call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. We are also contacting our Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
LaRoy and Mary Seaver
7005 Shamrock Rd. #105
Lincoln, NE 68506

cc:
Representative Jeff Fortenberry

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: turavich@aim.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 5:36 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments

Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I am writing to request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Terro Iravich
2210 E Eldridge Ave
north St Paul, MN 55109

cc:
Representative Betty McCollum

Ford, Kenneth L.

From: zozade@gmail.com
Posted At: Wednesday, August 22, 2007 5:36 PM
Conversation: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases
Posted To: OJP_Fed_Reg_Comments
Subject: Public Comment on OJP Docket No. 1464, Death Penalty Cases

Ms. Kim Ball Norris
Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs
DOJ, 810 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20531

Dear Ms. Ball Norris,

As a concerned member of the public, I request that you reject the regulations proposed in Docket No. 1464, "State Systems for the Appointment of Competent Counsel in Death Penalty Cases."

The regulations as currently proposed include numerous shortcomings that will -- almost inevitably -- contribute to the wrongful execution of innocent defendants.

-- The proposed regulations do not clearly require a state to, within a reasonable time, provide competent counsel and resources to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently require a public hearing in the state applying for fast-track procedures in death penalty cases to ensure that everyone who is affected may provide relevant information for the Attorney General's consideration.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define what is meant by the requirement that a state is appointing "competent counsel" to represent people sentenced to death. The definition must be the same for every state that wants to apply.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently define a minimum standard of fair compensation for counsel with sufficient expertise so that competent representation is provided to people sentenced to death.

-- The proposed regulations do not currently have procedures to ensure that the Attorney General's decision making is transparent to the public and not made on the basis of private conversations between a small number of officials.

For all of these reasons, I call upon you to reject the regulations as currently proposed, and ask that you include this letter in the official record for this proceeding. I am also contacting my Congressional representative with a request to pass new legislation returning these decision-making powers back to the judicial branch where they properly belong.

Sincerely,
Maura O'Connor
124 Jeandell Drive
Newark, DE 19713

cc:
Representative Mike Castle